IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

MONDAY, THE 18TH JUNE 2007 / 28TH JYAISHTA 1929

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 2402 of 2007()

CRA.370/2004 of II ADDL. SESSIONS COURT, TRIVANDRUM
CC.505/1998 of JUDL. MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS COURT-III, NEYYATTINKARA

.....

REVN. PETITIONER: REVISION PETITIONER/APPELLANT/ACCUSED:

MANIYAN, S/O. CHELLAPPAN NADAR,
AGED 52 YEARS, KULATHINKARA PUTHEN VEEDU,PALIYODE,
KORANAMKODE DESOM, ANAVOOR VILLAGE.

BY ADV. SRI.C.B.SREEKUMAR

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT:

STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VELLARADA POLICE STATION, REPRESENTED BY THE
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA,ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.K.S.SIVAKUMAR

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 18/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V. RAMKUMAR, J.

Crl.R.P. No. 2402 OF 2007

Dated this the 18th day of June, 2007

O R D E R

In this Revision filed under Section 397 read with Sec. 401 Cr.P.C. the petitioner challenges the conviction entered and the sentence passed by the courts below for an offence punishable under Section 354 IPC.

2. I heard the learned counsel for the Revision Petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor.

3. Even though the learned counsel appearing for the revision petitioner assailed the judgments, the findings recorded by the courts below are on the basis of appreciation of the oral and documentary evidence. Both the courts have believed the prosecution witnesses and sitting in the rarefied revisional jurisdiction, this Court will be loathe in interfering with the concurrent findings. I do not find any error, illegality or impropriety in the findings recorded by the courts below.

This Revision which is devoid of any merit and is accordingly dismissed confirming the conviction entered and the sentence passed against the revision petitioner.

(V. RAMKUMAR, JUDGE)

aks